## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Remarks:

In the subject Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Pat. No. 6,629,909. Submitted herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer.

Some minor amendments are being requested with respect to the pending claims to "tighten up" the language (but not change the scope) of the claims. Also, Applicants are requesting that dependent claim 11 be added to the application at this time. Claim 11 depends from claim 1 and recites arrangement of the left and right cranks between left and right crank supports on the frame, in order to establish a more direct relationship between the claims of the subject application and the claims of the '909 patent.

Having addressed the issue raised in the subject Action, Applicants respectfully request allowance of claims 1-11. The Examiner is always welcome to contact Applicants' undersigned representative by telephone to discuss any issue regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Krull Reg. No. 34,205

(541) 385-0383